

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/517,593	OIDE, EISUKE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Igor Kershteyn	3745	

All Participants:

(1) Igor Kershteyn.

(2) Peter Veytsman (Reg. No. 45.920).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Agreement was reached to amend claim 1 to exclude optional language (See Examiners Amendment)

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)